

A2.2.2 Define a UNITA label for Romance languages learning and IC (M4-M6).

« Identify the best methodologies, the most adequate materials and the most useful ways of working together to offer the best experience possible in learning languages and practising IC. Adopt them as a UNITA identifier and spread it. »

Introduction

The UNITA label signifies a commitment to promoting linguistic diversity, intercultural understanding, and effective communication in the context of Romance languages and intercomprehension.

The UNITA label can be defined as a **quality assurance designation** for courses focusing on intercomprehension (IC) and Romance languages. It signifies adherence to **specific principles and standards** aimed at enhancing learners' plurilingual and pluricultural competence.

At its core, the UNITA label emphasizes the following key principles:

1. Plurilingual and pluricultural competence
2. Prioritization of communicative and interactive methodologies
3. Fostering collaborative learning communities

Methodologies

UNITA courses are based on an interactive **and communicative** approach, centered on the **student as a "social agent"** and as an active participant in his/her own learning. They promote and enhance the **Alliance's linguistic and cultural plurality** through practice and inclusiveness.

Learners:

- Make links between the various languages in their repertoires;
- Develop cross-cultural communication and intercultural competence;
- Develop positive attitudes towards linguistic and cultural diversity;
- Capitalize on their knowledge and experiences when learning a new language;

Teachers :

- Encourage holistic approaches to language learning and teaching;
- Encourage a variety of learning approaches and contexts for continued learning;
- Guide and facilitate the learning process and the acquisition of skills and competences;
- Employ and allow students to use other languages in the romance language classroom.

In particular, the aim of IC courses is to communicate in/through **diverse plurilingual and multimodal resources**, prioritizing the students' **national and regional romance languages**, either reference language or mother tongue.

In Romance language and IC courses both **oral and written skills** are developed through a didactic perspective which has to take into account the **three dimensions** of intercomprehension: **reception, interproduction and interaction**. With these trainings students broaden their perspectives and develop metalinguistic awareness and learning skills allowing them undertake autonomous further language studies. Furthermore, the communicative **skills** are enhanced through **task and project based collaborative learning** (see the UCIL section below). All these courses promote the learner's autonomy.

Materials

On the one hand, syllabuses and materials for IC courses are developed according to the REFIC framework (De Carlo, 2019) and they take into account the **diversity of languages and cultures of the Alliance**. On the other hand, every Romance language course is necessarily based on the **Common European Framework of Reference for Languages** (CEFR), which is an international standard for describing language ability. It aims to promote plurilingual and intercultural education and to enhance intercultural dialogue while supporting social inclusion, democracy and cultural diversity.

While the material employed in the classroom has to be authentic, in IC courses it also must encompass a diverse range of national, regional and/or creole Romance languages within the same teaching unit or lesson (at least 3 languages per lesson). Suggested textbooks for IC:

- Eurom 5, <http://www.eurom5.com/>
- Panromanic, <https://www.zanichelli.it/ricerca/prodotti/panromanic>
- Les sept tamis <https://www.shaker.de/de/content/catalogue/index.asp?lang=de&ID=8&ISBN=978-3-8322-1221-6&search=yes>

Evaluation

The evaluation is based on the **CEFR for the Romance language** courses and on the **EVAL-IC framework** (evalic.eu) for IC. It can take into account various types of assessment:

- Formative (local, managed by the teacher)
- Summative (local, managed by the teacher)
- Diagnostic (local, managed by the teacher)
- Performance-Based (can be local and/or COIL based)
- Self-Assessment (local and/or COIL reflective journals and logbooks)
- Peer Assessment (at the end of the COIL session)

Working together...

Both IC and Romance language courses aim to train learners to communicate with each other and to work in plurilingual "connected learning communities" (Moriau & Angouri 2023). This enhances students engagement, motivation and learning outcomes (Lubicz-Nawrocka & Bovill, 2021), while also empowering teachers and promoting continued professional development (e.g. Burrell et al., 2015).

IC courses are built around **UCIL** (UNITA Collaborative International Learning) programmes. The teaching methods are **hybrid**, based on **face-to-face courses** and **online interaction**, both written and oral, in plurilingual teams. Training is developed:

- 1) locally, in each partner university, to practice written and oral reception and for moments of teacher-student mediation (**local group**, LG);
- 2) online, in a Moodle forum, to practice written comprehension, interaction and interproduction (**international group**, IG);

3) online, in pairs or small thematic **working groups** (WG) who practice written interaction and meet by videoconference to engage in oral comprehension, interaction and interproduction. (Garbarino & Lesparre, in print)

For **Romance language** courses, **UCIL** is suggested as a way of proposing virtual mobility to local students.

Bibliographie de référence

- Burrell, A. R., Cavanagh, M., Young, S., & Carter, H. (2015). Team-based curriculum design as an agent of change. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 20(8), 753–766. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2015.1085856>
- Castellotti, V., Courtaud, L., & Debono, M. (2024). Plurilinguisme et interculturalité dans l'enseignement supérieur. <https://doi.org/10.18452/28033>
- Council of Europe, Common European framework of reference for languages: Learning, teaching, assessment, Modern Languages Division, Strasbourg, 2001
- Council of Europe, Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: learning, teaching, assessment. Companion volume, 2018.
- Crăciun, D., & Orosz, K. (2018). Benefits and costs of transnational collaborative partnerships in higher education. (EENEE Analytical Report; No. 36). European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2766/395704>
- De Carlo, M., & Anquetil, M. (2019). Un Référentiel de compétences de communication plurilingue en intercompréhension, REFIC. *EDUCAZIONE LINGUISTICA LANGUAGE EDUCATION*, 8, 163-234.
- Garbarino, S. & Lesparre G. (in print). Comment former une communauté d'apprentissage par et pour la communication multilingue. Analyse SWOT du dispositif COIL "UCIL-IC", RDLC
- Gilardoni S., Sartirana L. (a cura di) (2023), *Approcci integrati plurilingui all'università. Metodi, formazione, esperienze*, EDUCatt, Milano.
- Lubicz-Nawrocka T. & Bovill C. (2021): Do students experience transformation through co-creating curriculum in higher education?, *Teaching in Higher Education*, DOI: 10.1080/13562517.2021.1928060
- Moriau, L., & Angouri, J. (2023). Participatory curriculum development: The case of EUTOPIA, a European university alliance. *The Curriculum Journal*, 00, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1002/curj.243>
- Ollivier, C., Bonvino, E. & Capucho, F. (coord.). EVAL-IC. Evaluation des compétences en intercompréhension. Partenariat stratégique de l'enseignement supérieur, 2016-1-FR01-KA203-024155. <https://evalic.eu/>
- Piccardo, E., Germain Rutherford, A., Lawrence, G. (2022), *The Routledge handbook of plurilingual language education*, Routledge, London
- Zanola, M.T. (2023), Language Policy in Higher Education, in M. Gazzola, F. Grin, L. Cardinal, K. Heugh (eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Language Policy and Planning*, Routledge, London, 483-496.